

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,073	12/21/2001	Jean L Latanne	146.1375	4668
20311 75	90 12/22/2004		EXAM	INER
MUSERLIAN	, LUCAS AND MERCA	KAM, CHIH MIN		
475 PARK AVI 15TH FLOOR	ENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10016			1653	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/018,073	FAUVEAU ETAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
	Chih-Min Kam	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	ovember 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-11,13-15,19 and 20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION.

1. The finality of previous Office Action dated October 13, 2004 is withdrawn due to a new ground rejection.

Status of the Claims

2. Claims 1-11, 13-15, 19 and 20 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed November 19, 2004 is acknowledged. Applicant's response has been fully considered. Claim 1 has been amended. Therefore, claims 1-11, 13-15, 19 and 20 are examined.

Rejection Withdrawn

Claim Rejections-Obviousness Type Double Patenting

3. The previous rejection of claims 1-11 and 13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-11, 14 and 17-20 of U. S. Patent 6,677,429 is withdrawn in view of a terminal disclaimer filed November 19, 2004, and applicant's response at page 9 in the amendment filed November 19, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The previous rejection of claims 1-11, 13-15, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph, regarding the term "all possible isomeric forms and their mixtures" and lacking essential steps in the method of treating fungal infection, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim, and applicant's response at pages 9-10 in the amendment filed November 19, 2004.

Art Unit: 1653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The previous rejection of claims 1-11 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Courtin *et al.* (U. S. Patent 6,677,429) is withdrawn in view of that the 102(e) date (July 24, 2000) of the patent is after the effective filing date (June 8, 2000) of the instant application.

Although applicant has responded to the rejection under obviousness-type double patenting by filing a terminal disclaimer, applicant has not responded to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Informalities

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

6. The specification recites the misspelled word "echinocandine" (e.g., at page 11, line 8), and isomer B does not have the same numbers of left bracket ([) and right bracket (]) in the nomenclature. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objection

7. Claims 15, 19 and 20 are objected to because claim 15 recites the misspelled word "echinocandine", and isomer B does not have the same numbers of left bracket ([) and right bracket (]) in the nomenclature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1-7, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,073

Art Unit: 1653

- 9. Claims 1-7, 11 and 13-14 are indefinite because of the use of the term "R is selected from the group consisting of alkyl and cycloalkyl of up to 30 carbon atoms, optionally containing at least one heteroatom, at least one heterocycle and alkyl or cyclic acyl of up to 30 carbon atoms optionally containing at least one heteroatom, and at least one heterocycle". The term cited renders the claim indefinite, it is unclear whether alkyl and cycloalkyl contain heterocycle, and whether alkyl or cyclic acyl contains heterocycle. Claims 2-7, 11 and 13-14 are included in the rejection because they are dependent on rejected claims and do not correct the deficiency of the claim from which they depend.
- 10. Claim 13 recites the limitation "-CH₂-C(CH₂)₂-NH₂" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in claim 1. Claim 13 is also indefinite because there is no period "." at the end of the sentence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

11. Claims 1-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by Courtin *et al.* (WO 99/29716, published date June 17, 1999; English Equivalent: U. S. Patent 6,677,429). The instant application claims foreign priority of France 99/07251, filed June 9, 1999, however, the English translation of the foreign priority document is not provided, thus the priority date is not perfected.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,073

Art Unit: 1653

Courtin *et al.* teach a compound of formula I consisting of all possible isomeric forms, where R₁ and R₂ are individually selected from the group consisting of hydrogen, OH, alkyl of up to 8 carbon atoms optionally interrupted with oxygen and optionally substituted with halogen, OH and N(-a) (-b), where a and b are individually hydrogen or alkyl of up to 8 carbon atoms (column 1, lines 10-54; columns 3 and 4; claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8-11, 14 and 17 of the patent), which meet the criteria of claims 1-11 and 13, when R₂ is a specific amine- or hydroxy-containing alkyl group (e.g., -CHCH₃CH₂NH₂ or -(CH₂)_aOH, where a is an integer of 1 to 8).

Conclusions

12. Claims 1-11, 13 and 14 are rejected, and claims 15, 19 and 20 are objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached at 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

CMK

December 15, 2004

JON WEBER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER